Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/804,277	MUSICK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Timothy C. Vanoy	1754
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) Timothy C. Vanoy.	(3)	
(2) Ms. Jessica Sinnott, applicants' attorney.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 July 2006	Time: <u>1:45 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: none		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed amending pg. 13 lns. 3-18 in the applicants' specification set forth in the amendment filed on June 30, 2006 by deleting: --, and

(b) recovering the titanium dioxide nanopowder having a surface area in the range of about 30 to about 300 m2/g and wherein about 50 volume percent of the particles have a diameter of about 80 nm or less and wherein about 90 volume percent of the particles have a diameter of about 100 nm or less-- via an examiner's amendment. Authorization for entering this proposed examiner's amendment was granted by the attorney.